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# Optimization and Vibrational Study of 2-propylpyridine-4carbothioamide by DFT- A theoretical study 
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#### Abstract

The molecular structure and simulated vibrational spectra of 2-propylpyridine -4carbothioamide have been calculated using the different density functional theoretical methods. The comparative performance of different DFT methods at various basis sets has been examined and predicted molecular structure and vibrational spectra of the molecule. The calculated results show that DFT/B3LYP functional and $6-31 \mathrm{G}$ basis set gives the highest certainty in predicting the structure and vibrational spectra of 2-propylpyridine -4carbothioamide.
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## 1. Introduction

2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide $(2 \mathrm{P} 4 \mathrm{C})$ is a drug used in the treatment of tuberculosis and in the treatment of leprosy. It is considered as a second-line drugs for tuberculosis as the number of drug resistant tuberculosis cases continues to rise. The burden of tuberculosis (TB) is major impediment to improved health for the world's population [1-2]. It is rapidly and widely distributed into body tissues and is extensively metabolized to active and inactive metabolites and it is an efficacious drug for the treatment of multidrugresistant tuberculosis [3].

Several recent studies [4-7] have shown that Density functional theory DFT can yield reasonable vibrational frequencies and geometries and it is much superior to the conventional methods. Therefore, the DFT method was chosen as the basic method in the present study for calculating the geometries and vibrational frequencies of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.

The aim of this paper is to compare the calculated results with the experimental data of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamideand then to find effective DFT method that would offer a higher certainty of predicting geometry and vibrational spectra of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.

## 2. Theoretical Calculations

The entire quantum chemical calculations have been performed at DFT with various basis sets using the Gaussian 09W program [8]. The molecular geometry optimizations were carried out by DFT using B3LYP, B3PW91, HCTH, SVWN, mPW1PW91 and PBEPBE [9-10] functional and different basis sets including LANL2DZ, SDD, LANL2MB, 6-31G, 6-311G, 3-21G. Based on the optimized structures, harmonic frequency calculations of the title molecule were calculated at the same level of theory. The wave number-linear scaling
(WLS) method which is a general and simple scaling procedure [11-12] was applied to correct the calculated harmonic frequencies.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1 Geometry optimization with various methods at Aug-CC-pVDZ basis set

The DFT methods employed in the present paper are representative in aspect of the exchangecorrelation energy and were commonly used in numerous theoretical studies.

B3LYP, B3PW91 and mPW1PW91 are classified to hybrid Hartree-Fock density-functional theory (hybrid-DFT) which combines the exchange-correlation of a percentage of Hartree-Fock (or exact) exchange. HCTH and PBEPBE are classified to the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) which makes the exchange and correlation energies dependent not only on the density but also on the gradient of the density give better total energies, atomization energies and structural energy differences and tend to expand and soften bonds compensating for the SVWN tendency to over bind. SVWN is the older local-spin-density approximation (LSDA) suitable for systems having slowly varying densities, but it typically overestimate binding energies and overly favor high spin-spin state structures [13-17].

Fig. 1 presents the optimized structure of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide at DFT/B3LYP/6-31 G method. The optimized geometrical parameters determined with different DFT methods at Aug-CC-pVDZ functional for the title molecule and the experimental data are collected in Table 1.


Fig. 1. Optimized structure of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide at DFT/B3LYP/6-31 G method
Table 1. Comparison of bond length (A) and bond angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ) calculated with various DFT methods for 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide

| Geometry | Description | Aug-CC-pVDZ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PBEPBE | SVWN | HCTH | B3LYP | mPW1PW91 | B3PW91 |
|  |  | BOND LENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.7120 | 1.6912 | 1.6738 | 1.6620 | 1.6774 | 1.6869 | 1.6634 |
| $\mathrm{R}(2,3)$ | 1.4786 | 1.4957 | 1.4768 | 1.4915 | 1.4889 | 1.4946 | 1.4902 |
| $\mathrm{R}(2,12)$ | 1.3479 | 1.3450 | 1.3314 | 1.3520 | 1.3300 | 1.3366 | 1.3488 |
| $\mathrm{R}(3,4)$ | 1.3995 | 1.4101 | 1.3968 | 1.4033 | 1.398 | 1.4030 | 1.4010 |
| $\mathrm{R}(3,8)$ | 1.3991 | 1.4067 | 1.3937 | 1.3998 | 1.3948 | 1.3997 | 1.3972 |
| $\mathrm{R}(4,5)$ | 1.3806 | 1.4023 | 1.3911 | 1.3954 | 1.3920 | 1.3960 | 1.3945 |
| $\mathrm{R}(4,13)$ | 1.0800 | 1.0973 | 1.0997 | 1.0904 | 1.0879 | 1.0887 | 1.0902 |
| $\mathrm{R}(5,6)$ | 1.3186 | 1.3471 | 1.3324 | 1.3347 | 1.3320 | 1.3391 | 1.3333 |
| $\mathrm{R}(5,14)$ | 1.0800 | 1.1007 | 1.1024 | 1.0946 | 1.0909 | 1.0920 | 1.0933 |
| $\mathrm{R}(6,7)$ | 1.3183 | 1.3577 | 1.3429 | 1.3471 | 1.3430 | 1.3484 | 1.3445 |
| $\mathrm{R}(7,8)$ | 1.3811 | 1.4073 | 1.3938 | 1.4007 | 1.3970 | 1.4013 | 1.3986 |
| $\mathrm{R}(7,9)$ | 1.5070 | 1.5100 | 1.4875 | 1.5060 | 1.5022 | 1.5090 | 1.5047 |


| $\mathrm{R}(8,15)$ | 1.0800 | 1.0974 | 1.1010 | 1.0893 | 1.0877 | 1.0882 | 1.0894 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}(9,10)$ | 1.5300 | 1.5435 | 1.5199 | 1.5352 | 1.5326 | 1.5411 | 1.5349 |
| $\mathrm{R}(9,16)$ | 1.0901 | 1.1075 | 1.1100 | 1.0992 | 1.0982 | 1.0994 | 1.0998 |
| $\mathrm{R}(9,17)$ | 1.0900 | 1.1071 | 1.1087 | 1.0992 | 1.0979 | 1.0992 | 1.0997 |
| $\mathrm{R}(10,11)$ | 1.5300 | 1.5319 | 1.5100 | 1.5238 | 1.5227 | 1.5307 | 1.5251 |
| $\mathrm{R}(10,18)$ | 1.0900 | 1.1085 | 1.1103 | 1.1010 | 1.0995 | 1.1007 | 1.1013 |
| $\mathrm{R}(10,19)$ | 1.0900 | 1.108 | 1.1100 | 1.0994 | 1.0988 | 1.1000 | 1.0998 |
| $\mathrm{R}(11,20)$ | 1.0900 | 1.1073 | 1.1084 | 1.0992 | 1.0983 | 1.1000 | 1.0998 |
| $\mathrm{R}(11,21)$ | 1.0900 | 1.1073 | 1.1084 | 1.0995 | 1.0983 | 1.1000 | 1.1000 |
| $\mathrm{R}(11,22)$ | 1.0900 | 1.1061 | 1.1064 | 1.0983 | 1.0971 | 1.0989 | 1.0987 |
| $\mathrm{R}(12,23)$ | 0.9700 | 1.0191 | 1.0219 | 1.0087 | 1.0095 | 1.0118 | 1.0100 |
| $\mathrm{R}(12,24)$ | 0.9700 | 1.0200 | 1.0232 | 1.0090 | 1.0100 | 1.0122 | 1.0101 |
| Mean Absolute Deviation |  | 0.01956 | 0.01984 | 0.01420 | 0.01303 | 0.01406 | 0.01379 |
| BOND ANGLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A(1,2,3) | 120.0022 | 122.0070 | 121.8350 | 122.7556 | 121.8481 | 122.1086 | 122.8338 |
| A(1,2,12) | 119.9995 | 122.3261 | 122.5036 | 122.1886 | 122.5901 | 122.3272 | 122.1628 |
| A(3,2,12) | 119.9977 | 115.6544 | 115.6551 | 115.026 | 115.5511 | 115.5517 | 114.9876 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,3,4)$ | 120.9356 | 121.4780 | 121.5038 | 121.7166 | 121.2085 | 121.1790 | 121.7285 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,3,8)$ | 120.9279 | 120.7497 | 120.5266 | 120.8728 | 120.6659 | 120.8741 | 120.5114 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,3,8)$ | 118.1359 | 117.7699 | 117.9692 | 117.4048 | 118.1230 | 117.9441 | 117.7585 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,4,5)$ | 118.9933 | 118.3791 | 118.3564 | 118.4831 | 118.1597 | 118.3159 | 118.3801 |
| A(3,4,13) | 120.4997 | 121.9647 | 122.0904 | 121.7112 | 122.0007 | 121.9538 | 121.8157 |
| A(5,4,13) | 120.4997 | 119.6024 | 119.4887 | 119.7344 | 119.7909 | 119.6852 | 119.7280 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,5,6)$ | 120.9065 | 124.0897 | 123.6848 | 124.185 | 123.8903 | 123.8186 | 123.9366 |
| A(4,5,14) | 119.5414 | 119.6389 | 119.6828 | 119.7459 | 119.6941 | 119.728 | 119.8852 |
| A(6,5,14) | 119.5520 | 116.2702 | 116.6311 | 116.0678 | 116.4147 | 116.4526 | 116.1769 |
| $\mathrm{A}(5,6,7)$ | 122.0705 | 117.7767 | 118.3227 | 117.8022 | 118.2323 | 118.2493 | 118.0524 |
| $\mathrm{A}(6,7,8)$ | 120.9041 | 122.0380 | 121.8828 | 122.0581 | 121.9121 | 121.8234 | 122.0904 |
| A(6,7,9) | 119.5480 | 116.8001 | 116.6887 | 116.6024 | 116.9476 | 117.0372 | 116.4806 |
| A(8,7,9) | 119.5473 | 121.1390 | 121.3846 | 121.3286 | 121.1215 | 121.1233 | 121.4135 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,8,7)$ | 118.9871 | 119.9372 | 119.7777 | 120.0534 | 119.6711 | 119.8375 | 119.7711 |
| A(3,8,15) | 120.5048 | 119.5655 | 119.0800 | 119.301 | 119.834 | 119.8855 | 119.2405 |
| A(7,8,15) | 120.5056 | 120.4972 | 121.1419 | 120.6446 | 120.4946 | 120.2766 | 120.9879 |
| A(7,9,10) | 109.4751 | 112.1184 | 111.2581 | 112.9877 | 112.176 | 112.4543 | 112.215 |
| $\mathrm{A}(7,9,16)$ | 109.4729 | 108.6061 | 108.8281 | 108.0864 | 108.5257 | 108.5550 | 108.2251 |
| A(7,9,17) | 109.4731 | 109.6273 | 109.9645 | 109.5792 | 109.5635 | 109.4932 | 109.6648 |
| $\mathrm{A}(10,9,16)$ | 109.4675 | 109.1588 | 108.9692 | 109.0538 | 109.2938 | 109.1996 | 109.1345 |
| $\mathrm{A}(10,9,17)$ | 109.4713 | 109.3605 | 109.8608 | 109.4815 | 109.4652 | 109.2821 | 109.7648 |
| $\mathrm{A}(16,9,17)$ | 109.4675 | 107.8719 | 107.8837 | 107.4945 | 107.7088 | 107.7417 | 107.7193 |
| A(9,10,11) | 109.4739 | 112.4040 | 112.0752 | 113.1884 | 112.4843 | 112.4932 | 112.6505 |
| $\mathrm{A}(9,10,18)$ | 109.4670 | 108.9664 | 108.9427 | 109.0543 | 109.0055 | 109.0031 | 109.0700 |
| A(9,10,19) | 109.4670 | 108.7307 | 108.2228 | 108.5757 | 108.7794 | 108.8356 | 108.4292 |
| A(11,10,18) | 109.4672 | 109.9339 | 110.4364 | 109.6319 | 109.8698 | 109.7943 | 109.8628 |
| A(11,10,19) | 109.4736 | 110.0295 | 110.6288 | 109.8061 | 109.9624 | 109.8800 | 110.0847 |
| A(18,10,19) | 109.4698 | 106.5966 | 106.339 | 106.3492 | 106.5550 | 106.6570 | 106.5460 |
| A(10,11,20) | 109.4672 | 111.0451 | 110.8191 | 111.3301 | 111.0444 | 111.0335 | 111.0859 |
| A(10,11,21) | 109.4736 | 111.1523 | 111.051 | 111.5764 | 111.133 | 111.1157 | 111.3545 |
| A(10,11,22) | 109.4712 | 111.131 | 111.7379 | 111.0779 | 111.0729 | 110.997 | 111.1473 |
| A(20,11,21) | 109.4698 | 107.7212 | 107.4495 | 107.5551 | 107.7652 | 107.8182 | 107.6611 |
| A(20,11,22) | 109.4668 | 107.8295 | 107.8374 | 107.5684 | 107.8481 | 107.8727 | 107.7248 |
| A(21,11,22) | 109.4786 | 107.8026 | 107.7657 | 107.5325 | 107.8227 | 107.8551 | 107.6926 |
| A(2,12,23) | 119.9955 | 119.7068 | 119.5234 | 118.5827 | 119.844 | 119.8933 | 118.4352 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,12,24)$ | 119.9969 | 121.9620 | 121.7206 | 121.7444 | 121.8599 | 122.0283 | 121.5266 |


| $\mathrm{A}(23,12,24)$ | 120.0076 | 118.0916 | 118.5373 | 118.6139 | 118.1464 | 117.9346 | 118.9055 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean Absolute <br> Deviation | $\mathbf{1 . 4 7 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 3 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 8 7 7}$ |  |

It is clear from the table that, B3LYP functional predicts the $\mathrm{R}(2-3), \mathrm{R}(3-4), \mathrm{R}(4-13), \mathrm{R}(5-6), \mathrm{R}(5-$ 14), $R(7-8), R(8-15), R(9-10), R(9-16), R(9-17), R(10-18), R(10-19), R(11-20), R(11-21), R(11-22), R(12-23)$, $R(12-24)$, bond lengths in better agreement with experimental geometries than other methods. The calculated bond angles of various DFT functional differ by $2^{\circ}$ with the experimental values. From the results, it is clear that the bond angles obtained from B3LYP functional values matches with the experimental results and this helps in the prediction of bond angle and very similar to the crystal structure of propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.

### 3.2 Geometry optimization with B3LYP at various basis sets

In an effort to better evaluate the limit performance and to determine basis set dependence, calculations for optimized geometries with B3LYP functional using various basis sets have been carried out. The calculated geometry parameters with B3LYP at different basis sets were compared with the experimental parameters in Table 2. It is clear that the calculated bond lengths at $6-31 \mathrm{G}$ basis sets are in better agreement with the experimental geometry. The calculated bond angles with B3LYP method at various basis sets differs from $2^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}$ with the experimental values. These results indicate that the calculated angles with B3LYP/ 6-31G method at various basis sets are very similar to the crystal structure of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.

Table 2.Comparison of bond length (in A) and bond angles (in deg) calculated for 2-propylpyridine -4-carbothioamide with B3LYP methods

| Geometry | Description | LANL2DZ | SDD | LANL2MB | 6-31G | 6-311G | 3-21G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | B3LYP |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | BOND LENGTH |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}(1,2)$ | 1.7120 | 1.7156 | 1.7063 | 1.81 | 1.7105 | 1.714 | 1.7156 |
| $\mathrm{R}(2,3)$ | 1.4786 | 1.4956 | 1.4961 | 1.5116 | 1.487 | 1.488 | 1.488 |
| $\mathrm{R}(2,12)$ | 1.3479 | 1.3667 | 1.3672 | 1.3708 | 1.3513 | 1.3463 | 1.3532 |
| $\mathrm{R}(3,4)$ | 1.3995 | 1.4156 | 1.4156 | 1.4196 | 1.4053 | 1.4039 | 1.408 |
| $\mathrm{R}(3,8)$ | 1.3991 | 1.4103 | 1.4104 | 1.4156 | 1.4012 | 1.4005 | 1.4041 |
| $\mathrm{R}(4,5)$ | 1.3806 | 1.4059 | 1.4062 | 1.4074 | 1.3939 | 1.394 | 1.3968 |
| $\mathrm{R}(4,13)$ | 1.0800 | 1.0864 | 1.0863 | 1.0977 | 1.0812 | 1.0831 | 1.0847 |
| $\mathrm{R}(5,6)$ | 1.3186 | 1.3534 | 1.3535 | 1.3891 | 1.3472 | 1.3463 | 1.3477 |
| $\mathrm{R}(5,14)$ | 1.0800 | 1.0874 | 1.0874 | 1.1032 | 1.0817 | 1.0849 | 1.0853 |
| $\mathrm{R}(6,7)$ | 1.3183 | 1.3658 | 1.366 | 1.3989 | 1.3596 | 1.3573 | 1.3603 |
| $\mathrm{R}(7,8)$ | 1.3811 | 1.41 | 1.4102 | 1.4145 | 1.3985 | 1.3957 | 1.401 |
| $\mathrm{R}(7,9)$ | 1.5070 | 1.5134 | 1.5135 | 1.5444 | 1.5066 | 1.513 | 1.5088 |
| $\mathrm{R}(8,15)$ | 1.0800 | 1.0849 | 1.0848 | 1.0991 | 1.0797 | 1.0817 | 1.0833 |
| $\mathrm{R}(9,10)$ | 1.5300 | 1.553 | 1.5531 | 1.5672 | 1.5462 | 1.551 | 1.5477 |
| $\mathrm{R}(9,16)$ | 1.0901 | 1.0977 | 1.0977 | 1.1034 | 1.0928 | 1.0974 | 1.0977 |
| $\mathrm{R}(9,17)$ | 1.0900 | 1.0983 | 1.0983 | 1.1037 | 1.093 | 1.0953 | 1.0971 |
| $\mathrm{R}(10,11)$ | 1.5300 | 1.5421 | 1.5421 | 1.5554 | 1.5346 | 1.542 | 1.5359 |
| $\mathrm{R}(10,18)$ | 1.0900 | 1.1003 | 1.1003 | 1.1042 | 1.0947 | 1.0971 | 1.0992 |
| $\mathrm{R}(10,19)$ | 1.0900 | 1.0982 | 1.0983 | 1.1039 | 1.0926 | 1.0953 | 1.0972 |
| $\mathrm{R}(11,20)$ | 1.0900 | 1.0985 | 1.0985 | 1.1007 | 1.0927 | 1.0964 | 1.0971 |
| $\mathrm{R}(11,21)$ | 1.0900 | 1.0988 | 1.0988 | 1.1008 | 1.0931 | 1.0965 | 1.0974 |
| $\mathrm{R}(11,22)$ | 1.0900 | 1.0978 | 1.0978 | 1.1008 | 1.0918 | 1.0955 | 1.096 |
| $\mathrm{R}(12,23)$ | 0.9700 | 1.0128 | 1.0127 | 1.0422 | 1.0063 | 1.0161 | 1.0096 |
| $\mathrm{R}(12,24)$ | 0.9700 | 1.0127 | 1.0126 | 1.0428 | 1.0069 | 1.016 | 1.0099 |
| Mean Absolute Deviation |  | 0.01702 | 0.01718 | 0.03310 | 0.01000 | 0.01241 | 0.01287 |
| BOND AN | LE |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{A}(1,2,3)$ | 120.0022 | 123.1509 | 123.0614 | 123.6551 | 122.1145 | 122.953 | 122.9051 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A(1,2,12) | 119.9995 | 121.3752 | 121.4701 | 119.1798 | 121.842 | 121.6653 | 121.3296 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,2,12)$ | 119.9977 | 115.4679 | 115.4629 | 117.1646 | 116.0416 | 115.3744 | 115.761 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,3,4)$ | 120.9356 | 121.5038 | 121.595 | 122.4752 | 121.2874 | 121.0984 | 121.4263 |
| $\mathrm{A}(2,3,8)$ | 120.9279 | 120.6949 | 120.6142 | 119.8709 | 120.6305 | 120.9547 | 120.7077 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,3,8)$ | 118.1359 | 117.7978 | 117.788 | 117.6526 | 118.0813 | 117.9438 | 117.8645 |
| A $(3,4,5)$ | 118.9933 | 118.6849 | 118.6884 | 118.8105 | 118.6545 | 118.7895 | 118.7589 |
| A $(3,4,13)$ | 120.4997 | 121.8584 | 121.8696 | 121.889 | 121.3192 | 121.3001 | 121.4513 |
| A( $5,4,13$ ) | 120.4997 | 119.3801 | 119.3661 | 119.269 | 119.9626 | 119.8411 | 119.7198 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,5,6)$ | 120.9065 | 123.4105 | 123.4202 | 125.5103 | 123.3291 | 123.1839 | 123.2915 |
| $\mathrm{A}(4,5,14)$ | 119.5414 | 120.5319 | 120.5265 | 119.451 | 120.1151 | 120.8805 | 120.6013 |
| A(6,5,14) | 119.552 | 116.0554 | 116.0513 | 115.0382 | 116.5546 | 115.9336 | 116.1056 |
| $\mathrm{A}(5,6,7)$ | 122.0705 | 118.4321 | 118.4156 | 114.2544 | 118.26 | 118.5769 | 118.4916 |
| $\mathrm{A}(6,7,8)$ | 120.9041 | 121.5584 | 121.5635 | 123.6559 | 121.8677 | 121.43 | 121.5554 |
| A(6,7,9) | 119.5480 | 116.4385 | 116.4353 | 115.5166 | 116.2131 | 116.1959 | 116.3000 |
| $\mathrm{A}(8,7,9)$ | 119.5473 | 121.992 | 121.9904 | 120.8158 | 121.907 | 122.3644 | 122.1339 |
| $\mathrm{A}(3,8,7)$ | 118.9871 | 120.1088 | 120.1167 | 120.1124 | 119.7956 | 120.0651 | 120.0289 |
| A(3,8,15) | 120.5048 | 119.3995 | 119.3624 | 118.8454 | 119.1963 | 119.3818 | 119.2705 |
| A(7,8,15) | 120.5056 | 120.4903 | 120.5197 | 121.0409 | 121.0076 | 120.5527 | 120.7003 |
| $\mathrm{A}(7,9,10)$ | 109.4751 | 112.1736 | 112.1817 | 111.6942 | 111.218 | 112.5391 | 112.591 |
| $\mathrm{A}(7,9,16)$ | 109.4729 | 108.5663 | 108.5731 | 108.4808 | 108.4361 | 108.3054 | 108.4459 |
| $\mathrm{A}(7,9,17)$ | 109.4731 | 110.0831 | 110.0754 | 109.3258 | 110.086 | 109.9389 | 109.8738 |
| $\mathrm{A}(10,9,16)$ | 109.4675 | 108.5793 | 108.5842 | 109.2218 | 108.4127 | 108.6323 | 108.5202 |
| A(10,9,17) | 109.4713 | 109.4508 | 109.444 | 109.5095 | 110.2835 | 109.7548 | 109.7728 |
| A(16,9,17) | 109.4675 | 107.8766 | 107.8711 | 108.5486 | 108.3222 | 107.5239 | 107.4874 |
| $\mathrm{A}(9,10,11)$ | 109.4739 | 112.1752 | 112.1935 | 111.8092 | 111.9826 | 112.6319 | 112.4704 |
| A(9,10,18) | 109.467 | 109.324 | 109.3199 | 109.2125 | 109.0897 | 109.1933 | 109.206 |
| $\mathrm{A}(9,10,19)$ | 109.467 | 108.4586 | 108.4631 | 108.8465 | 107.4274 | 108.1866 | 108.1577 |
| A(11,10,18) | 109.4672 | 109.7496 | 109.7462 | 109.5954 | 109.9435 | 109.7862 | 109.8213 |
| A(11,10,19) | 109.4736 | 110.0311 | 110.0256 | 109.687 | 110.5423 | 110.1216 | 110.2248 |
| A(18,10,19) | 109.4698 | 106.9548 | 106.9437 | 107.5919 | 107.7277 | 106.7349 | 106.7838 |
| $\mathrm{A}(10,11,20)$ | 109.4672 | 110.9295 | 110.9327 | 110.6492 | 110.5358 | 111.0623 | 111.0224 |
| A(10,11,21) | 109.4736 | 111.2018 | 111.205 | 110.6982 | 110.8351 | 111.357 | 111.2798 |
| A(10,11,22) | 109.4712 | 111.1085 | 111.1098 | 110.3366 | 110.8217 | 111.1099 | 111.1543 |
| A(20,11,21) | 109.4698 | 107.7893 | 107.7844 | 108.3509 | 108.1636 | 107.7025 | 107.6945 |
| A(20,11,22) | 109.4668 | 107.8405 | 107.839 | 108.3757 | 108.213 | 107.7314 | 107.7811 |
| A(21,11,22) | 109.4786 | 107.8173 | 107.8154 | 108.3515 | 108.1714 | 107.7064 | 107.7421 |
| A $(2,12,23)$ | 119.9955 | 118.8079 | 118.7586 | 118.4912 | 118.7448 | 119.0702 | 118.8983 |
| A $(2,12,24)$ | 119.9969 | 122.0811 | 122.092 | 122.6236 | 121.8138 | 121.9943 | 122.1334 |
| A(23,12,24) | 120.0076 | 118.8015 | 118.8081 | 118.6807 | 119.0111 | 118.6665 | 118.716 |
| Mean Absolute Deviation |  | 1.50642 | 1.51597 | 1.59106 | 1.39303 | 1.54464 | 1.54357 |

### 3.3 Vibrational spectra calculated with various methods at Aug-CC-pVDZ basis set

The computed vibrational frequencies (scaled values) and their relative IR intensities of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide with various DFT methods using Aug-CC-pVDZ basis set are listed in Table 3. In order to reduce the errors in the predictions, several authors proposed different scaling procedures for DFT calculations. The wavenumber-linear scaling (WLS) method, which is based on the linear relationship between the scale factor and calculated harmonic frequencies, is an effective and simple scaling procedure to correct the calculated harmonic frequencies [18-19].

Table 3 Scaled frequencies ${ }^{\text {a }}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and infrared intensities ${ }^{\mathbf{b}}$ ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) calculated for 2-propylpyridine-4carbothioamide with various density functional methods using Aug-CC-pVDZ basis set.

| Mode | Assignment | Description | Aug-CC-pVDZ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | B3LYP | B3PW91 | HCTH | SVWN | mPW1PW91 | PBEPBE |
| 66 | $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ | 3500 | 3695 | 3713 | 3692 | 3750 | 3756 | 3635 |
| 65 | $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ | 3400 | 3635 | 3678 | 3548 | 3630 | 3525 | 3575 |
| 64 | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ | 3200 | 3220 | 3250 | 3194 | 3126 | 3234 | 3235 |
| 53 | $\delta(\mathrm{ring})$ | 1650 | 1627 | 1652 | 1570 | 1628 | 1666 | 1578 |
| 46 | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$ | 1400 | 1420 | 1426 | 1365 | 1436 | 1469 | 1475 |
| 34 | $\rho(\mathrm{NH})$ | 1150 | 1137 | 1150 | 1119 | 1085 | 1140 | 1182 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S})$ | 780 | 748 | 780 | 723 | 719 | 733 | 726 |
| Mean Absolute Deviation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{7 7 . 7 1}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Vibrational modes, u -stretching , $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{as}}$-asymmetric stretching, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}}-$ symmetric stretching, $\delta$ - in-plane deformation, $\rho$-rocking.

A scatter plot of the ratios of the experimental frequencies to the calculated harmonic frequencies, $\mathrm{f}(\exp ) / \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{calc})$, against the calculated harmonic frequencies $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{calc})$ with different DFT methods is shown in Fig. 3.


Fig. 3. Plot of the ratios of the experimental frequencies to the WLS-scaled calculated harmonic frequencies, $f(\exp ) / f_{\text {WLS }}(c a l c)$, against the WLS-scaled calculated harmonic frequencies with different methods for 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide

The relationship between scale factors and calculated harmonic frequencies can be obtained from the Fig. 3 which are

| $f(\mathrm{exp}) / f(c a l c)=1.047-0.00003 * f(c a l c)$ | for B 3 L Y P | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(\exp ) / f($ calc $)=1.023-0.00002 * f($ calc $)$ | for B3PW91 | (2) |
| $f(\mathrm{exp}) / f(c a l c)=1.023-0.00002 * f(c a l c)$ | for H C T H | (3) |
| $f(\exp ) / f($ calc $)=1.085-0.00004^{*} f($ calc $)$ | forSVWN | (4) |
| $f(\mathrm{exp}) / f(c a l c)=1.039-0.00002 * f(c a l c)$ | for m P W 1 P W 91 | (5) |
| $f(\mathrm{exp}) / f(c a l c)=1.040-0.00002 * f(c a l c)$ | $f o r$ P B EPBE | (6) |

respectively.
The calculated IR and Raman spectra of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide with various density functional methods using Aug-CC-pVDZ basis set is shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5.


Fig. 4. The theoretical IR Spectra of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.


Fig. 5. The theoretical Raman Spectra of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.

It is clear from the plot that the scaled factor of B3LYP method has better correction effect on the calculated harmonic frequencies than other methods. It is remarkable that the B3LYP method perform well for the calculation of vibrational frequencies with the mean absolute deviation significantly less than those reported
for other methods and therefore it is confirmed that B3LYP method is the best method to predict the vibrational spectra of the molecule [20-21].

### 3.4 Vibrational spectra calculated with the Aug-CC-pVDZ method at various basis sets

The computed vibrational frequencies (scaled) and their relative IR intensities of the molecule with B3LYP method at various basis sets is listed in Table 4.

Table 4 Scaled frequencies ${ }^{\mathbf{a}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ and infrared intensities ${ }^{\mathbf{b}}(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{mol})$ calculated for 2-propylpyridine-4carbothioamide with B3LYP method using various basis sets.

| Mode | Assignment | Description | LANL2DZ | SDD | LAN2MB | 6-31 G | 6-311 G | 3-21 G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | B3LYP |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | $\mathrm{v}_{\text {as }}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ | 3500 | 3728 | 3628 | 3657 | 3650 | 3699 | 3655 |
| 65 | $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ | 3400 | 3581 | 3525 | 3539 | 3578 | 3560 | 3580 |
| 64 | $v$ (C-H) | 3200 | 3235 | 3269 | 3232 | 3238 | 3206 | 3263 |
| 53 | $\delta$ (ring) | 1650 | 1630 | 1629 | 1550 | 1639 | 1625 | 1610 |
| 46 | $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N})$ | 1400 | 1444 | 1443 | 1450 | 1406 | 1446 | 1445 |
| 34 | $\rho(\mathrm{NH})$ | 1150 | 1142 | 1141 | 1190 | 1156 | 1150 | 1144 |
| 20 | v(C-S) | 780 | 751 | 857 | 735 | 737 | 733 | 730 |
|  | Absolute D | ation | 77.86 | 67.43 | 80.43 | 61.71 | 69.00 | 77.00 |

${ }^{\mathbf{c}}$ Vibrational modes, $v$-stretching, $v_{a s}$-asymmetric stretching, $v_{s}$ - symmetric stretching, $\delta$ - in-plane deformation, $\rho$-rocking.

A scatter plot of the ratios of the experimental frequencies to the calculated harmonic frequencies, $\mathrm{f}(\exp ) / \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{calc})$, against the calculated harmonic frequencies $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{calc})$ with different DFT methods is shown in Fig. 6.


Fig. 6. Plot of the ratios of the experimental frequencies to the WLS-scaled calculated harmonic frequencies, $f(\exp ) / f W L S(c a l c)$, against the WLS-scaled calculated harmonic frequencies with B3LYP method at different basis sets for 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.

The relationship between scale factors and calculated harmonic frequencies can be obtained from the Fig. 6 which are

| $f(\exp ) / f($ calc $)=1.039-0.00002^{*} f($ calc $)$ | for LANL2DZ | (1) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $f(\exp ) / f(c a l c)=0.968-0.000002^{*} f($ calc $)$ | for $S D D$ | (2) |
| $f(\exp ) / f($ calc $)=1.040-0.00002^{*} f(c a l c)$ | for $L A N L 2 M B$ | (3) |
| $f(\exp ) / f($ calc $)=1.047-0.00003^{*} f($ calc $)$ | for $6-31 G$ | (4) |
| $f(\exp ) / f($ calc $)=1.045-0.00002^{*} f($ calc $)$ | for $6-311 G$ | (5) and |
| $f(\exp ) / f($ calc $)=1.053-0.00003^{*} f($ calc $)$ | for $3-21 G$ | $(6)$ |

respectively.
It is clear from the plot that the scaled factor of B3LYP/6-31 G method has better correction effect on the calculated harmonic frequencies than other methods. With the application of scaling procedure, the scaled frequencies of B3LYP agree very well with the experimental data.

## 4. Conclusions

In the present study, the comparative performance of different DFT methods at different basis sets in the accurate calculations of molecular structure and vibrational spectra of the title molecule has been investigated. It can be concluded from the calculation results, that B3LYP/ Aug-CC-pVDZ level affords the best quality to predict the structure of the molecule. The calculated results also indicate that the B3LYP /6-31 G level offers the highest certainty to predict the vibrational spectra of 2-propylpyridine-4-carbothioamide.
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