



International Journal of ChemTech Research CODEN(USA): IJCRGG ISSN: 0974-4290 Vol.1, No.2, pp- 139-148, April-June 2009

Ce(IV) – Induced electron transfer in Pentaammine Cobalt (III) complexes of \propto -amino acids in micellar medium A.Thaminum Ansari¹*, K.Subramani²

¹Department of Chemistry, Saraswathi Velu College of Engineering, Sholinghur - 631 102, India. ²PG & Research Department of chemistry, Islamiah College, Vaniyambadi, Tamilnadu, India. E.mail : tham ans@rediffmail.com

Abstract : A micellar catalysed oxidation of pentaamminecobalt(III) complexes of \propto - amino acids by Ce(IV) in acidic medium yielding nearly 40% of Co(II), 40% of HCHO and about 60% of glyoxalatocobalt(III) complex are ultimate products. The unbound amino acids yield about 40% of HCHO and 60% of glyoxalic acid in presence of micelles. The effect of variation of sulphuric acid concentration is not substantial. The decrease in UV-Visible absorbance at $\lambda = 502$ nm for Co(III) complex corresponds to nearly 40% of the initial absorbance. In spite of the stoichiometry of Ce(IV) to unbound ligand is 2.0:1.0, the ratio of Ce(IV) to cobalt(III) complex is 1.5:1.0 accounting for about 40% reduction at the cobalt(III) centre. The kinetic and stoichiometric results have been accounted. A suitable mechanism consistent with the experimental findings has been proposed by involving a radical cation intermediate.

Keywords: Pentaamminecobalt(III) complexes, Induced electron transfer reaction, Micelles, Triol, Complex formation.

Introduction

amino acids with Ce(IV) has been already reported .1-7 The extent of Ce(IV) oxidation of pentaaminecobalt (III) complexes of \propto with NaLS and CTAB micelles as amino acids important to study the rate of decomposition of the complexes. Since micellar catalysis is an area of tremendous interest due to its occurrence in eactions important to Both biochemical, biotechnological and industrial processes. It as the diagnostic tool to find out the Fraction proceeding by synchronous cleavages of N-H and C-C bonds in micellar medium.⁸⁻¹²

Results and Discussion

The electron transfer in pentaaminecobalt (III) complexes of Table I summarizes the kinetic data for the Ce(IV) oxidation of free \propto - amino acids with IN H₂SO₄ at $30 + 0.2^{\circ}$ C in micellar medium. Though the reaction exhibits first - order dependance on Ce(IV), kinetic saturation has been observed with respect to substrate concentration, suggesting a complex formation between Ce(IV) and \propto - amino acids.i.e., stabilization of the Cobalt-substrate complexes in the micelles.

> Assuming 1:1 complex involving Ce(IV) and \propto - amino acids the following rate law has been deduced for this reaction.

$$\frac{rate}{Ce(IV)} = \frac{k_{obs}}{K^{-I} + [\infty - amino \ acid]}$$

Where k_{limit} is the limiting specific rate observed at higher $[\infty - \text{amino acid}] / [Ce(IV)]$ ratios and K is the formation constant for the Ce(IV) - ∞ - amino acid complex.

Hence, from the plot of $1/k_{obs}$ Vs 1 / [\propto - amino acid] the slope and intercept can be evaluated.

Table II lists the formation constants for Ce(IV) – Co complexes of \propto - amino acid along with the limiting specific rates.

Such complex formation seems to be absent when the carboxyl and it is tied up by Co (III), and the reaction between Ce(IV) and Co (III) complexes of \propto amino acids exhibit uncomplicated second order kinetics.

From a comparison of the specific rates for Ce(IV) oxidation of the respective Co(III) complexes and the dimeric Cobalt (III) glycine complex **Table III** and **Table IV**, one can infer that the oxidation rates of \propto - amino acids are not significantly affected by complex formation. This may be because the point of attack lies away from the Co (III) centre so that its electrostatic

Scheme I

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ + \\ H_{3}N - CH_{2} - C - O - Co + Ce(IV) \end{array}$$

Slow 1e⁻ transfer 40% | Synchronous N-H & C-C fission

CH₂

$$|| + CO_{2} + Co^{II} + Ce(III)$$

$$H + C + H^{+}$$

$$H + C + CO^{+} + H^{+}$$

$$H + C + CO^{+} + Ce(III)$$

$$H + C + CO^{+} + Ce(III)$$

Scheme II

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ H_{3}N^{+} \\ CH_{2} - C - O - Co \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{H_{1}}^{H_{1}} H_{2} \\ O \\ H_{3}N^{+} \\ CH_{2} - C - O - Co^{III} + Ce (III) + H \\ \end{array}$$

influence is less felt. There is, however a considerable change in the specific rate of Ce(IV) oxidation of the $\text{Co}_2^{(III)}$ glycine complex as the two Co (III) centres can exert greater electrostatic influence over the reacting centre. This suggests that Ce(IV) attacks the – NH₂ or – NH centre in the slow step of the reaction, leading to the formation of a radical – `NH or – `N. The reduction in the specific rate of Ce(IV) oxidation of Co (III) complexes of N – Acetyl and N – Benzoyl glycine and N – Benzoyl alanine, compared to that of unbound ligands, points to significant electronic influence of the acyl group and also the electrostatic influence of the Co (III) centre at the seat of attack Viz, the NH group. Such an electrostatic influence due to ligation of

 \propto - amino acids to the Co (III) centre (in the monomer only) seems to be absent as the amino nitrogen is protonated both in the complex and in the unbound ligand, exerting possibly the same electrostatic influence at the set of attack. Ce (IV) in Subsequent steps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
O & O \\
\Pi & \parallel & \parallel \\
H & C - C - \Theta + & NH_4 \\
(60\%)\end{array}$$

Scheme III

$$H_{3}N^{+}-CH_{2}-C-O-H+Ce^{IV}$$

$$H_{3}N^{+}-\underbrace{CH_{2}-C-O}_{H_{2}-C-O}-Ce^{IV}+H^{+}_{Intermediate Complex}$$

$$Slow$$

$$O$$

$$* NH_{3} CH_{2}-C-O+Ce^{III}$$

$$Fast Ce(IV)$$

$$H$$

$$H \rightarrow C -O^{+} H$$

$$H^{2}O, H^{+} + NH_{2}$$

$$H \rightarrow C = D + * NH_{4} + H^{+}$$

$$H^{4}O(M)$$

Scheme IV

(60%)

The stoichiometric results indicate that for one mole of Cobalt (III) complex, about 0.3 mole of Ce(IV) is consumed, whereas with the unbound ligands for one mole of \propto - amino acid about 0.4 mole of Ce(IV) is consumed. **Table V** and **Table VI.** The stoichiometric results coupled with kinetic data and product analysis, can be accounted for by the following reaction schemes.

Scheme I proposes that Ce(IV) oxidizes NH center and induces the formation of a radical which in a synchronous step undergoes carbon – carbon bond fission, yielding Co (II). As the amount of HCHO or Co (II) formed is only 40% of [Co (III)]_{initial}, The above reaction probably contribute only 40% of the total reaction. As 1 mole of glycinatocobalt(III) complex consumes 1.5 mol of Ce(IV) yielding nearly 60% of glyoxlatocobalt(III) complex.

Scheme II envisages the formation of nitrogen radical that reacts with Ce(IV) in subsequent steps, yielding ultimately glyoxalatocobalt(III) complex. This probably forms the rest of the reaction (about 60%). In this case the amount of Ce(IV) consumed by one mole of Co (III) should be around 0.4 mole, which is in agreement with the observed stoichiometric results. In the case of unbound glycine and N —acetyl glycine in presence of micelles the consumption of Ce(IV) is around 0.4 mol, the yield of HCHO is about 40% and that of glyoxalic acid is about 60%. In the case of the Co (III) glycinato complex, the other product is the Co (III) glyoxalato compex.

Scheme III and IV, electron transfer possibly occurs within the precursor or intermediate complex and our kinetic data evidence the formation of such a binuclear complex with fairly high association constant K, between Ce(IV) and \propto - amino acid possibly due to the ligation of Ce(IV) to free carboxyl end (which is absent in a Co(III)-complex).

In the absence of internal oxidant, cobalt(III) in the cerium(IV) oxidation of \propto - amino acids and in presence of micelles, the consumption of cerium(IV) should be more by 40% as both the schemes involve the oxidant cerium(IV) Only. This is in keeping with the observed stoichiometric data viz., 1 mole of \propto - amino acid requires nearly 2 moles of Ce(IV). In the micellar phase 40% induced electron transfer is observed whereas only 20% reduction at Co(III) centre takes in the absence of micelles.. A plot of specific rate constant versus micelles(detergent) concentration is sigmoidal one. The catalytic effect is more pronounced in presence of NaLS and CTAB. Hence the rate of reaction is increased by the addition of both NaLS and CTAB.

Thus the present study on Ce(IV) induced electron transfer in cobalt(III) bound and unbound \propto - amino acids in presence of micelles, presents evidence for dualistic mechanistic pathways available for the reaction one preferring N-H and C-C fissions to the extent of 40% whereas, the other proceeding by -N-H- and \propto - C-H-fissions forming about 60% of total reaction.

Sethuram etal ¹³ consider the breaking of the N – H bond in a fast step. Still such an amino acid radical formation has been involved in the Ag+ ¹⁴ catalysed S₂O₈ ²⁻ ox idation of \propto - amino acids.

Experimental

Ammonium cerium (IV) nitrate, (BDH, AnalaR Grade) (Anal: Calculated for $(NH_4)_2$ Ce $(NO_3)_6$: Ce 25.5 found (Ignition of CeO₂) Ce, 25.5) \propto - amino acids employed as ligands, Sodium lauryl sulphate (NaLS) and Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide(CTAB) Aldrich Products) were used as obtained.

N – acetyl and N – benzoyl glycine and N – benzoyl alanine were prepared by following the procedure of Vogel.¹⁵ The (NH₃)₅ Co (III) complexes of glycine, \propto alanine, N – acetyl and N – benzoyl glycine and N – benzoyl alanine were prepared as their perchlorates by the method of Fan.¹⁶ The tris (μ - hydroxo) complex (NH₃)₃ Co (OH)₃ (Co (NH₃)₃ (Clo₄)₃ (triol) has been prepared by the procedure of Siebert and Co workers.^{17,18}

The reaction between Ce(IV) and Co III complexes was carried out at $30^{\circ}C \pm 0.2^{\circ}C$ in presence of micelles in a thermostated bath. The concentrations of unreacted Ce(IV) were determined both iodometrically and spectrophotometrically at 400 nm. The disappearance of Co (III) was followed spectrophotometrically by following the decrease in absorbance at 502 nm (for the monomeric Co (III) complex) and at 520 nm (for the dimeric Co (III) complex). The specific rates estimated from the optical density measurements agree with the values from the volumetric procedure with in + 7% Curiously, the change in absorbance observed at 502 nm or 520 nm for the Co (III) complexes of glycine corresponds to very nearly 20% of the initial concentration of Co (III) in absence of micelles, while the change in optical density at 400 nm for Ce(IV) corresponds to 40% of [Co (III)] initial in presence of micelles. Ionic strength was maintained by the addition of suitable quantities of H₂SO₄ and Na₂SO₄.

Co (II) was estimated after the completion of reaction, by diluting the reaction mixture 10 – fold with concentrated HCl, allowing evolution of chlorine to cease, and then measuring the absorbance at 692 nm¹⁹ ($\varepsilon = 560$). The amount of Co (II) estimated in all these cases corresponds to nearly 40% of [Co (II)] _{initial}.

After 48h, the product was extracted with ether and analysed iodoimetrically for the amount of HCHO formed in the case of the [glycinato] and [N - acetyl glycinato] Cobalt (III) complexes, the dimeric Co (III) complex, and unbound glycine. The yield of HCHO in all these cases is nearly 40% [Co (III)] initial²⁰ **Table V and Table VI.**

After neutralization of the reaction mixture with sodium bicarbonate, the pH of the aqueous layer was adjusted to about 6.5 and the aqueous layer was separated by filteration in the case of both free ligands and corresponding complexes. On evaporation of water under

reduced pressure, the product separated and the percentage yield was calculated. Though the yield of glyoxalic acid was nearly quantitative, the estimation of glyoxalic acid complex was less nearly quantitative, the estimation of glyoxalic acid complex was less nearly quantitative. In both the cases the IR spectra of the product agreed with that of authentic samples of glyoxalic acid or the [glyoxalato] cobalt (III) complex.

Table -1

First order rate constants for Ce(IV) oxidation of \propto - amino acids in micelles at 30±0.2°	Ċ
---	---

Substrate (Unbound)	10^{3} [\propto - amino acids] mol dm ⁻³	$10^{5}k_{1} \text{ sec}^{-1}$	$10^4 k_{calculated}$
a) glycine	10.0	2.6	2.8
	20.0	2.8	3.0
	30.0	3.2	3.1
	40.0	3.5	3.4
	50.0	4.0	3.9
b) L-alanine	10.0	1.8	2.0
	20.0	2.1	2.3
	30.0	2.5	2.6
	40.0	3.0	2.9
	50.0	3.8	3.5
c)N-benzoylalanine	10.0	17.1	17.4
	20.0	19.5	19.2
	30.0	23.0	22.5
	40.0	25.0	24.5
	50.0	28.2	28.5
d)N-acetyl glycine	10.0	16.1	16.5
	20.0	18.0	18.5
	30.0	18.9	18.0
	40.0	19.8	19.2
	50.0	20.5	20.0
e)N-benzoyl glycine	10.0	18.7	18.4
	20.0	20.5	20.0
	30.0	22.1	22.5
	40.0	24.0	23.5
	50.0	26.0	25.0

Ce(IV) --- 0.2 M, H₂SO₄---1N, NaLS--- 0.2 M, Temperature--- 30+0.2° C.

Table -2

Substrate	∝ - amino acids		
(bound)	mol dm ⁻³	10^{5} k ₁ sec ⁻¹	10 ³ k _{calculated}
a) glycine	5.0	2.68	5.36
	10.0	5.35	5.35
	20.0	10.72	5.36
	30.0	15.90	5.30
	40.0	22.40	5.60
b) L-alanine	5.0	3.60	7.20
	10.0	7.38	7.38
	20.0	14.70	7.35
	30.0	21.90	7.30
	40.0	28.93	7.24
c) N-benzoylalanine	5.0	9.95	19.90
	10.0	19.08	19.05
	20.0	38.10	19.05
	30.0	59.20	19.74
	40.0	79.40	19.80
d) N-acetylglycine	5.0	9.80	19.60
	10.0	19.50	19.50
	20.0	39.10	19.50
	30.0	59.00	19.60
	40.0	77.90	19.50
e)N-benzoylglycine			
	5.0	20.90	27.94
	10.0	41.70	31.45
	20.0	83.10	32.82
	30.0	124.0	33.56
	40.0	165.0	34.01

Ce(IV) --- 0.2 M, H₂SO₄---1N, NaLS---.2M, Temperature--- 30+0.2° C

10 ⁴ k _{limit} ^b sec ⁻¹	K ^a m ⁻¹	Correlation coeff.(γ)
6.66	6.00	0.99
7.14	4.51	0.97
8.33	8.75	0.98
37.03	13.5	0.97
31.25	7.39	0.99
	10 ⁴ k _{limit} ^b sec ⁻¹ 6.66 7.14 8.33 37.03 31.25	104klimit b sec-1 Kam-1 6.66 6.00 7.14 4.51 8.33 8.75 37.03 13.5 31.25 7.39

Table-5. Ce(17) Oxidation of Co(11) complexes of \sim - annuo actus in interies at 50 ± 0.2	Table-3:	Ce(IV)	Oxidation of	Co(III)	complexes of	∝ - amino	acids in	micelles at	30 <u>+</u> 0.2°	С
---	----------	--------	--------------	---------	--------------	-----------	----------	-------------	------------------	---

a) Formation constant, K for Ce(IV) - \propto - amino acid complexes were calculated as the ratio of intercept or slope from the plot of 1/k _{obs} Vs 1/[\propto - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M NaLS 30±0.2°C.

b) Rates were calculated from the intercept of the $1/k_{obs}$ Vs $1/[\propto$ - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M NaLS.

∝ - amino acids	10 ⁻² Kmol ⁻¹ dm ⁻³	10 ⁵ K _{limit} Sec ⁻¹	Correlation coeff.(γ)
Glycine	0.78	4.4	1.00
L-alanine	0.33	5.0	0.97
N-acetyl glycine	2.90	21.0	0.99
N-benzoyl glycine	2.40	25.0	0.98

Table- 4 :	Ce(IV) Oxidation of \propto	- amino acid	s in micelles	s at	30+0.2°	С
------------	-------	--------------------------	--------------	---------------	------	---------	---

a) Formation constant, K for Ce(IV) - \propto - amino acid complexes were calculated as the ratio of intercept or slope from the plot of 1/k _{obs} Vs 1/[\propto - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M NaLS 30<u>+</u>0.2°C.

b) Rates were calculated from the intercept of the $1/k_{obs}$ Vs $1/[\propto$ - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M NaLS.

Table-5

Stoichiometric data for Ce(IV) Oxidation of \propto - amino acids and their corresponding Co(III) complexes in NaLS Medium at 30±0.2° C.

10 ² [Compound mol dm ⁻³	1] _{initial}	10 ² [Ce(IV)] _{initial} mol dm ⁻³	Δ[Ce(IV)]/ [Co(III)]c _{initial}	10 ³ [Co(II)] mM(%) mol dm ⁻³	10 ³ [HCHO] ^d mM(%) mol dm ⁻³	10 ³ Glyoxalic acid mM(%) mol dm ⁻³
Glycine	1.00 1.00	5.00 10.00	2.00 ^a 2.10 ^a	-	3.90(39.2) 3.80(38)	5.90(59) 6.20(62)
N-acetyl glycin ∝-alanine	ne 1.00 1.00	5.00 5.00	2.10^{a}	-	3.70(37) -	6.30(63) -
(NH ₃) ₅ Co ^{III} - L ² L=	2+		2.10			
L=glycine L= glycine	1.00 0.26	4.90 4.90	1.50 ^a 1.40 ^a	3.60(36) 0.09(35)	$3.50(35)^{\rm f}$ $0.09(35)^{\rm f}$	-
L=N-acetyl Glycine	1.00	5.00	1.40 ^a	3.40(34)	3.50(35)	-
L= ∝- alanine L= ∝-alanine	1.00 0.25	5.00 5.00	1.50 ^a 1.60 ^a	3.50(35) 0.09(35)	-	-
Co ₂ ^{III} (gly) ^c 1.00		5.00	-	-	3.60(36)	

a)Formation constant, K for Ce(IV)- \propto - amino acid complexes were calculated as the ratio of intercept or slope from the plot of $1/k_{obs}$ Vs $1/[\propto$ - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M NaLS 30+0.2 C.

b)The limiting specific rates were calculated from the intercept of the $1/k_{obs}$ Vs $1/[\propto$ - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M NaLS.

Table-6

10 ² [Compound mol dm ⁻³	initial	10 ² [Ce(IV)] _{initial} mol dm ⁻³	Δ[Ce(IV)]/ [Co(III)]c _{initial}	10 ³ [Co(II)] mM(%) mol dm ⁻³	10 ³ [HCHO] ^d mM(%) mol dm ⁻³	10 ³ Glyoxalic acid mM(%) mol dm ⁻³
Glycine	1.00	5.00	2.10 ^a	-	3.95(39.5)	5.99(60)
	1.00	10.00	2.15 ^a	-	3.90(39)	6.30(63)
N-acetyl glycin	ie					6.50(65)
	1.00	5.00	2.20 ^a	-	3.85(39)	-
∝-alanine	1.00	5.00	2.25 ^a	-	-	
$(NH_3)_5 Co^{III} - L^2$ L=	+					-
L=glycine	1.00	4.90	1.60 ^a	3.80(38)	3.60(36) ^f	-
L= glycine	0.26	4.90	1.50 ^a	0.09(35)	0.09(35) ^f	
L=N-acetyl						-
Glycine	1.00	5.00	1.50 ^a	3.50(35)	3.60(36)	-
L= ∝- alanine	1.00	5.00	1.60 ^a	3.60(36)	-	-
L=∝-alanine	0.25	5.00	1.70 ^a	0.08(35)	-	
Co2 ^{III} (gly) ^c 1.00		5.00	-	-	3.80(38)	-

Stoichiometric data for Ce(IV) Oxidation of \propto - amino acids and their corresponding Co(III) complexes in CTAB Medium at 30±0.2° C.

a)Formation constant, K for Ce(IV)- \propto - amino acid complexes were calculated as the ratio of intercept or slope from the plot of $1/k_{obs}$ Vs $1/[\propto$ - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M CTAB 30±0.2 C. b)The limiting specific rates were calculated from the intercept of the $1/k_{obs}$ Vs $1/[\propto$ - amino acids] at 1N H₂SO₄ and 0.2 M CTAB.

References

1. J.French and H.J.Taube, J. Am.Chem.Soc., 1969,**91**,6957.

2. P.Kalidoss, and V.S. Srinivasan, J.Chem.Soc., Dalton trans, 1984, 2831.

3. B.T. Gowda and D.S. Mahadevappa, J.Chem.Soc., Perkin Trans 1983, **2**, 323.

4. G. Gopalakrishnan and J.L. Hogg, J.Org.Chem., 1985, **50**, 1206.

5. B.T. Gowda and R.V. Rao, Oxidn, Commun.,1987, **10.**31; 1988, **11**, 149.

6. S.D. Quine and B.T. Gowda, Oxidn. Commun., 1998, **21**, 106.

7. V.S. Srinivasan and E.S. Gould, Inorg. Chem., 1981, **20**, 208.

8. C.A.Bunton, In Reaction Kinetics in Micelles, (E.H. Cordesed) **73**, Plenum, NewYork.1973.

 M. Tiwari and A. Pandy, Kinetics of Oxidation of Praline by Vanadium(V) in micellar system. Oxidation commun. 2004, 27(1),130-139.

10. C.H. Cordes, Kinetics of organic reactions in micelles. Pure Appl. Chem. 1978,**50**(7),617-625And references cited thereis.

11. F.Jiang,J.Du, X.Yu,J.BaO and X.Zeng, Metallomicellar catalysis; accelerated hydrolysis of PNPP by Cu(II),Zn(II) and Ni(II) complexes of Long alcoholinidazole in CTAB micellar solution.,J.Colloid Interface Science 2004,**273**(2),497-504.

12. S.K.Mondal, M.Das, D.Kar and A.K.Das., Micellar effect on the reaction of Chromium(VI)Oxidation of formaldehyde in presence and absence of Picolinic acid in aqueous acidic media: A kinetic study. Indian J.Chem.Sect.A 2001,**40** A(4), 352-360. 13. M.G.Ram Reddy, B. Sethuram and T.Navaneetha Rao, Indian J.Chem.Sect. A 1978, 16 A, 591.

14. M. Adinarayana, B. Sethuram and T. Navaneetha Rao, Indian J.Chem. Soc. 1976; 877.

15. A.J. Vogel, "A Text book of Practical organic chemistry", Longman Group, London, 1971; 311.

16. R.R.F. Fan, E.S. Gould, Inorg. Chem. 1974, **13**, 2639.

17. V.S.Srinivasan, A.N. Singh, K. Wieghardt, N. Rajasekar, and E.S. Gould, Inorg. Chem. 1982, **21**, 2531.

18. H. Siebert, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1978, **47**, 441.

19. E.S. Gould, H. Taube, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, **86**, 1318.

 F.G. Mann, H.C. Saunders, "Practical Organic Chemistry", Longman Group, London., 1960, P. 455.
